arXiv:1612.08816v2 [math.AT] 12 Feb 2017

# HIGHER HOMOTOPY COMMUTATIVITY IN LOCALIZED LIE GROUPS AND GAUGE GROUPS

SHO HASUI, DAISUKE KISHIMOTO, AND MITSUNOBU TSUTAYA

Abstract. McGibbon and Saumell studied the higher homotopy commutativity of $p$-localized Lie groups in the sense of Williams. We study the higher homotopy commutativity of $p$-localized Lie groups in the sense of Sugawara, which has a deep connection with higher homotopy associativity and L-S category. We prove that the $p$-localized Lie group $G$ decomposes into the product of spheres as an $A_n$-space for sufficiently large $p$. This implies the $p$-local higher homotopy commutativity of $G$. We apply this to determine the higher homotopy commutativity of the gauge groups of $n$-universal bundles and of general principal bundles. In the appendix, we also study the higher homotopy noncommutativity for $\mathrm{G}_2$ not determined in the Saumell's work.

## 1. Introduction

A homotopy associative $H$-space $X$ which admits a homotopy inversion is said to be *homotopy commutative* if the commutator map

$$X \wedge X \to X, \qquad (x, y) \mapsto xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$$

is null-homotopic. In this paper, we study the $p$-local (higher) homotopy commutativity of Lie groups and gauge groups. We note that the $p$-localization of a loop space is naturally a loop space.

Given a compact connected Lie group $G$, the rational cohomology is computed as

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Q}) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell)$$

by the Hopf theorem, where $x_i \in H^{2n_i-1}(G; \mathbb{Q})$ and $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell$. We call the sequence of the numbers $\{n_1, \ldots, n_\ell\}$ the *type* of the Lie group $G$. Here is the list of the types of simple Lie groups.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| $\mathrm{SU}(\ell+1)$ | $2, 3, \ldots, \ell$ | $\mathrm{G}_2$ | $2, 6$ |
| $\mathrm{SO}(2\ell+1)$ | $2, 4, \ldots, 2\ell$ | $\mathrm{F}_4$ | $2, 6, 8, 12$ |
| $\mathrm{Sp}(\ell)$ | $2, 4, \ldots, 2\ell$ | $\mathrm{E}_6$ | $2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12$ |
| $\mathrm{SO}(2\ell)$ | $2, 4, \ldots, 2\ell-2, \ell$ | $\mathrm{E}_7$ | $2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18$ |
| | | $\mathrm{E}_8$ | $2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30$ |

For $G = \mathrm{SO}(2\ell)$, we also set $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell = 2\ell - 2$ while $\ell$ is placed at the right end of the type in the above table.

*Remark* 1.1. In this paper, we study the localizations of topological groups. For a topological group $G$ having the homotopy type of a CW complex, it is well-known that there is a canonical $A_\infty$-equivalence $G \to \Omega^{\mathrm{M}}BG$ to the Moore loop space of the classifying space. For example, see [Tsu16, Corollary

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55P35(primary).

M.T. is supported by Grant for Scientific Research Projects from The Sumitomo Foundation and by JSPS KAKENHI (16K17592).

6.7]. We define the $p$-localization $G_{(p)}$ of $G$ as a topological monoid $A_\infty$-equivalent to $\Omega^{\mathrm{M}}(BG)_{(p)}$. Actually, there is an $A_\infty$-map localizing the identity component given by the composite

$$G \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{\mathrm{M}} BG \xrightarrow{\Omega^{\mathrm{M}}\ell} \Omega^{\mathrm{M}}(BG)_{(p)},$$

where $\ell\colon BG \to (BG)_{(p)}$ is the $p$-localization.

Hubbuck [Hub69] proved that a connected non-contractible homotopy commutative finite $H$-space has the homotopy type of a torus. But after localization at a sufficiently large prime $p$, it is known that non-commutative compact Lie groups also have certain homotopy commutativity. McGibbon [McG84] and Saumell [Sau95] proved the following $p$-local higher homotopy commutativity in the sense of Williams [Wil69], where a topological monoid is a Williams $C_2$-space if and only if it is homotopy commutative.

**Theorem 1.2** (McGibbon and Saumell)**.** *Given a compact connected Lie group $G$ of type $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$, a prime $p$ and a integer $k \geq 2$, the following assertions hold.*

*(1) If $p > kn_\ell$, then $G_{(p)}$ is a Williams $C_k$-space.*
*(2) If $p < kn_\ell$, then $G_{(p)}$ is not a Williams $C_k$-space, except in the case when $(G, p, k)$ is $(\mathrm{Sp}(2), 3, 2)$ or $(\mathrm{G}_2, 5, k)$ such that $k \leq 4$.*

The remaining cases are $(G, p) = (\mathrm{Sp}(2), 3), (\mathrm{G}_2, 5)$. McGibbon [McG84] and Saumell [Sau95] proved that $\mathrm{Sp}(2)$ is homotopy commutative at $p = 3$ but not a Williams $C_3$-space. For $\mathrm{G}_2$ at $p = 5$, McGibbon [McG84] proved the homotopy commutativity. But it had been unknown if $\mathrm{G}_2$ is a Williams $C_3$-space at $p = 5$. We answer this problem in the appendix as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *The localized Lie group $(\mathrm{G}_2)_{(5)}$ is not a Williams $C_3$-space.*

There are more higher homotopy commutativities such as *Sugawara $C_k$-space* [Sug61, McG89], *$C_k(n)$-space* [HK11], *$C(k, \ell)$-space* [KK10] and *$T^f_k$-space* [IMOY12]. As we shall see in Proposition 3.3, a looplike (i.e. $\pi_0(G)$ is a group) $A_\infty$-space $G$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space if and only if the wedge sum of the inclusions $\Sigma G \vee \Sigma G \to BG$ extends over the union $\bigcup_{i+j=k} B_kG \times B_jG$ of the products of the projective spaces. We introduce the following new "commutativity" by a similar characterization, which is actually a generalization of a $C(k, \ell)$-space.

**Definition 1.4.** A looplike $A_\infty$-space $G$ is said to be a *$C(k_1, \dots, k_r)$-space* if the wedge sum of inclusions

$$\Sigma G \vee \cdots \vee \Sigma G \to BG$$

extends over the product $B_{k_1}G \times \cdots \times B_{k_r}G$.

As in [Sau95, Section 3], when $k_1 = \cdots = k_r = 1$, $C(k_1, \dots, k_r)$-space is equivalent to Williams $C_r$-space. We have more implications among higher homotopy commutativities as follows:

$$\text{Sugawara } C_k\text{-space} \Rightarrow C_{k_1}(k)\text{-space} \Rightarrow C(k_1, \dots, k_r)\text{-space} \Rightarrow \text{Williams } C_k\text{-space},$$

where each $k_i$ is a positive integer and $k = k_1 + \cdots + k_r$. In particular, a topological group $G$ is a Sugawara $C_\infty$-space if and only if the classifying space $BG$ is an $H$-space. The notion of $C(k_1, \dots, k_r)$-space will be useful for our application to gauge groups.

The following is our main result.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let $G$ be a compact connected simple Lie group $G$ of type $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$, $p$ a prime and $k$ a positive integer. If $p > kn_\ell$, then the $p$-localization $G_{(p)}$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space.*

**Corollary 1.6.** *Given a compact connected simple Lie group $G$ of type $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$, a prime $p$ and a sequence of positive integers $(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ for $r \geq 2$, the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If* $p > (k_1 + \cdots + k_r)n_\ell$, *then* $G_{(p)}$ *is a* $C(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$*-space.*
(2) *If* $p < (k_1 + \cdots + k_r)n_\ell$, *then* $G_{(p)}$ *is not a* $C(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$*-space, except in the case when* $(G, p, (k_1, \ldots, k_r))$ *is* $(\mathrm{Sp}(2), 3, (1, 1))$ *or* $(\mathrm{G}_2, 5, (1, 1))$.
(3) *The localization* $\mathrm{Sp}(2)_{(3)}$ *is a* $C(1, 1)$*-space but not a* $C(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$*-space for* $k_1 + \cdots + k_r \geq 3$.
(4) *The localization* $(\mathrm{G}_2)_{(5)}$ *is a* $C(1, 1)$*-space but not a* $C(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$*-space for* $k_1 + \cdots + k_r \geq 3$.

In the proof, we analyze the $A_k$-form of $G$ in the sense of Stasheff [Sta63]. The key property of $G$ in the proof of Theorem 1.5 is that $G$ has the $p$-local $A_k$-types of the product of spheres. Corollary 1.6 follows from Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.5.

Given a principal $G$-bundle $P \to B$, the *gauge group* $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is the topological group consisting of bundle maps $P \to P$ covering the identity on $B$. The classifying space of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ has the weak homotopy type of the path component $\mathrm{Map}(B, BG)_\alpha$ of the mapping space $\mathrm{Map}(B, BG)$ based at the classifying map $\alpha$ of $P$ [Got72]. In particular, we consider the $n$-universal bundle $E_nG$ over $B_nG$, which is the restriction of the universal bundle $EG$ to the $n$-th projective space $B_nG$. The classifying space of $\mathcal{G}(E_nG)$ has the weak homotopy type of $\mathrm{Map}(B_nG, BG)_{i_n}$ based at the canonical inclusion $i_n \colon B_nG \to BG$. This space is also important in $A_\infty$-theory. For example, Klein–Tilson [KT] studied the relation between the moduli space of $A_\infty$-structures and the restriction map $\mathrm{Map}(BG, BG) \to \mathrm{Map}(B_1G, BG)$. As another example, the third author [Tsu16] observed that the map $G \to \mathcal{A}_n(G, G)_{\mathrm{id}}$ assigning the conjugation homomorphism as an $A_n$-map for each $g \in G$ extends to the extended evaluation fiber sequence

$$G \to \mathcal{A}_n(G, G)_{\mathrm{id}} \to \mathrm{Map}(B_nG, BG)_{i_n} \to BG \to B\mathcal{A}_n(G, G)_{\mathrm{id}},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n(G, G)_{\mathrm{id}}$ is the union of certain path components of the space of $A_n$-equivalences $G \to G$. In that work, he conjectured that, given a compact connected non-commutative Lie group $G$, the path component $\mathrm{Map}(B_nG, BG)_{i_n}$ is never delooped for $1 \leq n < \infty$. If this conjecture is true, the above fiber sequence no longer extends to the right for such $G$ and $n$. When $n = \infty$, it is well-known that $\mathrm{Map}(BG, BG)_{\mathrm{id}}$ admits a delooping. When $n = 0$, the non-commutativity by Hubbuck implies that $BG$ is not an $H$-space. When $1 \leq n < \infty$, the following for sufficiently large prime $p$ implies that $\mathrm{Map}(B_nG, BG)_{i_n}$ is not an $H$-space. Hence the conjecture is verified.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let* $G$ *be a compact connected simple Lie group of type* $\{n_1, \ldots, n_\ell\}$ *and* $p$ *a prime. Then, given positive integers* $n$ *and* $k$*, the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If* $p > (n + k)n_\ell$, *then* $\mathcal{G}(E_nG)_{(p)}$ *is a Sugawara* $C_k$*-space.*
(2) *If* $(n + 1)n_\ell < p < (n + k)n_\ell$, *then* $\mathcal{G}(E_nG)_{(p)}$ *is not a Williams* $C_k$*-space.*

*Remark* 1.8. In the cases $(G, p) = (\mathrm{Sp}(2), 3)$ and $(\mathrm{G}_2, 5)$, there is no positive integer $n$ satisfying $(n + 1)n_\ell < p$.

The first half of this theorem can be extended to general bundles, where the L-S category $\mathrm{cat}\, B$ of the base space $B$ is involved.

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let* $G$ *be a compact connected simple Lie group of type* $\{n_1, \ldots, n_\ell\}$ *and* $p$ *a prime. Given a principal* $G$*-bundle* $P$ *over a finite complex* $B$*, the* $p$*-localized gauge group* $\mathcal{G}(P)_{(p)}$ *is a Sugawara* $C_k$*-space if* $p > (\mathrm{cat}\, B + k)n_\ell$.

However, the criterion in Theorem 1.9 is not sharp in general. We give a better criterion when $B$ is a sphere.

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let* $G$ *be a compact connected simple Lie group of type* $\{n_1, \ldots, n_\ell\}$ *and* $p$ *a prime. If* $p \geq kn_\ell + n_i$, *then the* $p$*-localized gauge group* $\mathcal{G}(P)_{(p)}$ *of any principal* $G$*-bundle* $P$ *over* $S^{2n_i}$ *is a Sugawara* $C_k$*-space.*

**Example 1.11.** In the case when $k = 2$ and $n_i = 2$, Theorem 1.10 is proved by Kishimoto–Kono–Theriault [KKT13, Thoerem 1.1].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall $A_n$-spaces and $A_n$-maps. In Section 3, we study the properties of higher homotopy commutativities and a characterization of Sugawara $C_k$-spaces. In Section 4, we investigate the $A_k$-types of localized compact connected simple Lie groups. Theorem 1.5 is also shown there. In Section 5, we recall the theory of gauge groups. In Section 6, we study the higher homotopy commutativity of gauge groups and prove Theorems 1.7, 1.9 and 1.10. In the appendix, we prove Theorem 1.3 by computing Chern characters.

## 2. Higher homotopy associativity

In this section, we recall the theory of higher homotopy associativity we need in this paper. Higher homotopy associativity is formulated by Stasheff [Sta63]. To describe it, we need the *associahedra* $\mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{K}_3$ ,... The $i$-th associahedron $\mathcal{K}_i$ is homeomorphic to the $(i-2)$-dimensional disk. The boundary sphere is exactly the union of the images of the boundary maps

$$\partial_k \colon \mathcal{K}_r \times \mathcal{K}_s \to \mathcal{K}_i$$

for $r + s - 1 = i$ and $1 \le k \le r$, each of which is an embedding into the boundary. The degeneracy maps

$$s_k \colon \mathcal{K}_i \to \mathcal{K}_{i-1}$$

for $1 \le k \le i$ are also defined. For details, see [Sta63].

**Definition 2.1.** Let $G$ be a based space. Then a family of maps $\{m_i \colon \mathcal{K}_i \times G^{\times i} \to G\}_{i=2}^n$ is said to be an $A_n$*-form* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $m_2(*, x) = m_2(x, *) = x$,
(2) $m_{r+s-1}(\partial_k(\rho, \sigma); x_1, \dots, x_{r+s-1}) = m_r(\rho; x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, m_s(\sigma; x_k, \dots, x_{k-s+1}), x_{k-s}, \dots, x_{r+s-1})$,
(3) $m_i(\rho; x_1, \dots, x_i) = m_{i-1}(s_k\rho; x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_i)$ if $x_k = *$.

A pair $(G, \{m_i\})$ of a based space $G$ and an $A_n$-form $\{m_i\}$ on it is called an $A_n$*-space*.

We also recall $A_n$-maps between $A_n$-spaces [IM89]. In the definition, we need the *mulitiplihedra* $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2$,... The $i$-th multiplihedron is homeomorphic to the $(i-1)$-dimensional disk. The boundary sphere is exactly the union of the images of the boundary maps

$$\delta_k \colon \mathcal{J}_r \times \mathcal{K}_s \to \mathcal{J}_i$$

for $r + s - 1 = i$ and $1 \le k \le r$ and

$$\delta \colon \mathcal{K}_r \times \mathcal{J}_{s_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{J}_{s_r} \to \mathcal{J}_i$$

for $s_1 + \cdots + s_r = i$, each of which is an embedding into the boundary. The degeneracy maps

$$d_k \colon \mathcal{J}_i \to \mathcal{J}_{i-1}$$

for $1 \le k \le i$ are also defined. For details, see [IM89].

**Definition 2.2.** Let $(G, \{m_i\})$ and $(G', \{m'_i\})$ be $A_n$-spaces and $f \colon G \to G'$ a based map. Then a family of maps $\{f_i \colon \mathcal{J}_i \times G^{\times i} \to G'\}_{i=1}^n$ is said to be an $A_n$*-form* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $f_1 = f$,
(2) $f_{r+s-1}(\delta_k(\rho, \sigma); x_1, \dots, x_{r+s-1}) = f_r(\rho; x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, m_s(\sigma; x_k, \dots, x_{k-s+1}), x_{k-s}, \dots, x_{r+s-1})$,
(3) $f_{s_1+\cdots+s_r}(\delta(\rho, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r); x_1, \dots, x_{s_1+\cdots+s_r})$
$= m'_r(\rho; f_{s_1}(\sigma_1; x_1, \dots, x_{s_1}), \dots, f_{s_r}(\sigma_r; x_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r-1}+1}, \dots, x_{s_1+\cdots+s_r}))$,
(4) $f_i(\rho; x_1, \dots, x_i) = f_{i-1}(d_k\rho; x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_i)$ if $x_k = *$.

A pair $(f, \{f_i\})$ of a based map $f$ and an $A_n$-form $\{f_i\}$ on it is called an *$A_n$-map*. In particular, if the underlying map of an $A_n$-map is a homotopy equivalence, it is said to be an *$A_n$-equivalence*.

If $(f, \{f_i\})$ is an $A_n$-equivalence between non-degenerately based $A_n$-spaces $G$ and $H$, then the homotopy inverse of $f$ also admits an $A_n$-form [IM89]. The following lemma is not difficult to prove.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $(G, \{m_i\})$ and $(G', \{m'_i\})$ be $A_n$-spaces and $(f, \{f_i\})\colon G \to G'$ an $A_n$-map. If $f'\colon G \to G'$ is a based map homotopic to $f$, then there is an $A_n$-form $\{f'_i\}$ on $f'$ such that $(f', \{f'_i\})$ is homotopic to $(f, \{f_i\})$ as an $A_n$-map.*

If $(G, \{m_i^G\})$ and $(H, \{m_i^H\})$ are $A_n$-spaces, the product space $G \times H$ admits the *product $A_n$-form* $\{m_i^{G\times H}\}$ defined by

$$m_i^{G\times H}(\rho; (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_i, y_i)) = (m_i^G(\rho; x_1, \dots, x_i), m_i^H(\rho; y_1, \dots, y_i)).$$

Stasheff introduced [Sta63] the *$A_n$-structure* of an $A_n$-space, which is a kind of iterated Dold–Lashof construction or partial universal principal bundle. We reformulate it as follows.

**Definition 2.4** (Stasheff)**.** Given a based space $G$, the following data is called an *$A_n$-structure* on $G$:

(i) a commutative ladder of based spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ B_0 & \longrightarrow & B_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_{n-1}, \end{array}$$

where $B_0$ is contractible and each $B_{i-1} \to B_i$ is a closed cofibration,

(ii) a homotopy equivalence $\eta\colon G \to E_0$,

(iii) there is a sequence of contractible spaces

$$D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \cdots \subset D_{n-2}$$

and a closed cofibrations $h_0\colon E_{n-2} \to D_{n-2}$ and a map $h\colon D_{n-2} \to E_{n-1}$ such that $h_0 \circ h$ is the above map $E_{i-1} \to E_i$ satisfying $h_0(E_{i-1}) \subset D_{i-1}$ and $h(D_{i-1}) \subset E_i$ for each $1 \le i \le n-1$.

We say that the $A_n$-structure is *cofibrant* if the map

$$B_{i-1} \cup_{E_{i-1}} D_{i-1} \to B_i$$

induced from $h$ is a homeomorphism. We say that the $A_n$-structure is *fibrant* if each map $E_i \to B_i$ is a fibration and each square in the ladder is a pullback.

*Remark* 2.5. While we used the terms *cofibrant* and *fibrant*, we do not insist the existence of any model category structures of $A_n$-structures.

**Definition 2.6.** Given $A_n$-structures $\{E_i, B_i, D_i, \eta, h_0, h\}$, $\{E'_i, B'_i, D'_i, \eta', h'_0, h'\}$ of $G, G'$ and a based map $f\colon G \to G'$, a triple of maps

$$f^E\colon E_{n-1} \to E'_{n-1} \qquad f^B\colon B_{n-1} \to B'_{n-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad f^D\colon D_{n-1} \to D'_{n-1}$$

is said to be an *$A_n$-structure* on $f$ or a map between these $A_n$-structures if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) these maps satisfies $f^E(E_i) \subset E'_i$, $f^B(B_i) \subset B'_i$ and $f^D(D_i) \subset D'_i$ for each $i$ and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & D_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & E_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & B_{n-1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^E} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^D} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^E} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^B} \\ E'_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & D'_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & E'_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & B'_{n-1} \end{array}$$

(ii) the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\eta} & E_0 \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G' & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & E'_0 \end{array}$$

If $G$ is an $A_n$-space and the basepoint is non-degenerate, Stasheff [Sta63] constructed a cofibrant $A_n$-structure

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_0G & \longrightarrow & E_1G & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_{n-1}G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ B_0G & \longrightarrow & B_1G & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_{n-1}G \end{array}$$

as a variant of bar construction, where $B_0 = *$, each $B_{i-1} \to B_i$ is a closed cofibration, $E_0G = G$, $E_{i-1}G$ is contained in a contractible subset $D_{i-1}G$ of $E_iG$ and each square is a pullback. We call it the *canonical $A_n$-structure* of $G$. The space $E_iG$ has the homotopy type of the $(i+1)$-fold join $G^{*(i+1)}$ of $G$. The space $B_iG$ is called the *$i$-th projective space*, where in fact, the $n$-th projective space $B_nG$ is also canonically defined and has the homotopy type of the mapping cone of $E_{n-1}G \to B_{n-1}G$. When $n = \infty$, the space $BG = B_\infty G$ is the classifying space of $G$ and we denote the canonical inclusion by $i_k \colon B_kG \to BG$. Note that each square is a homotopy pullback if $G$ is looplike, where we say an $A_n$-space $(G, \{m_i\})$ $(n \geq 2)$ is *looplike* if the left and the right translations in $\pi_0(G)$ induced from $m_2$ are bijections. Moreover, if an $A_n$-map $G \to G'$ between $A_n$-spaces is given, then there is the canonical map between the canonical $A_n$-structures. This is obtained by Iwase–Mimura [IM89]. More explicit constructions of these $A_n$-structures can be found in [Iwa].

**Example 2.7.** If $G$ is a non-degenerately based topological group, then the projection

$$EG \to BG$$

of the canonical $A_\infty$-structure is a principal bundle. Thus it is fibrant.

The following lemma immediately follows from the replacement of $E_{n-1} \to B_{n-1}$ by a fibration.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Given an $A_n$-structure $\{E_i, B_i, D_i, \eta, h_0, h\}$ of a based space $G$, there exists a map from $\{E_i, B_i, D_i, \eta, h_0, h\}$ to a fibrant $A_n$-structure $\{\tilde{E}_i, B_i, \tilde{D}_i, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{h}_0, \tilde{h}\}$ on $G$ such that the underlying map is the identity on $G$.*

We call it the *fibrant replacement*. For cofibrant replacement, Stasheff [Sta63] gave the following good cofibrant replacement, while it does not preserve fibrantness.

**Proposition 2.9** (Stasheff)**.** *Given a fibrant $A_n$-structure $E = \{E_i, B_i, D_i, \eta, h_0, h\}$ of a non-degenerately based space $G$, there exist an $A_n$-form $\{m_i\}$ on $G$ and a map from the canonical $A_n$-structure of $(G, \{m_i\})$ to $E$ of which the underlying map is the identity on $G$. Moreover, such an $A_n$-space $(G, \{m_i\})$ is looplike.*

For maps between $A_n$-structures, Iwase–Mimura [IM89] proved the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.10** (Iwase–Mimura)**.** *Let $G$ and $G'$ be non-degenerately based $A_n$-spaces and suppose $G'$ is looplike. Denote the canonical $A_n$-structure of $G$ by $E$ and a fibrant replacement of the canonical $A_n$-structure of $G'$ by $\tilde{E}'$. If a based map $f\colon G \to G'$ admits an $A_n$-structure $E \to \tilde{E}'$, then $f$ admits an $A_n$-form.*

Combining with the fiber-cofiber argument, the following corollary follows.

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let $G$ be a non-degenerately based $A_n$-space and $G'$ be a non-degenerately based looplike $A_\infty$-space. Then a based map $f\colon G \to G'$ admits an $A_n$-form if and only if the composite*

$$\Sigma G \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} \Sigma G' \xrightarrow{i_1} BG'$$

*extends over the $n$-th projective space $B_nG$.*

## 3. Higher homotopy commutativity

In this section, we study the properties and relations of higher homotopy commutativities.

First, we give a characterization of Sugawara $C_k$-spaces by using projective spaces. Given non-degenerately based $A_n$-spaces $G$ and $H$, define a space $E_i(G,H)$ and $B_i(G,H)$ by

$$E_i(G,H) = \bigcup_{j_1+j_2=i} E_{j_1}G \times E_{j_2}H,$$
$$B_i(G,H) = \bigcup_{j_1+j_2=i} B_{j_1}G \times B_{j_2}H.$$

Then we have a commutative ladder

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_0(G,H) & \longrightarrow & E_1(G,H) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E_{n-1}(G,H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ B_0(G,H) & \longrightarrow & B_1(G,H) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_{n-1}(G,H) \end{array}$$

and can show that $B_0(G,H) = *$, $B_{i-1}(G,H) \to B_i(G,H)$ is a closed cofibration, $E_0(G,H) = G \times H$, $E_{i-1}(G,H)$ is contained in a contractible subset

$$D_{i-1}(G,H) = \bigcup_{j_1+j_2=i-1} (D_{j_1}G \times E_{j_2}H \cup * \times D_{j_2}H) \subset E_i(G,H).$$

Moreover, if $G$ and $H$ are looplike, each of the above squares is a homotopy pullback.

The following proposition plays an important role in the proof of our theorems.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $G$ and $H$ be non-degenerately based looplike $A_n$-spaces. Then there is a homotopy commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma(G\times H) & \longrightarrow & B_2(G\times H) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_n(G\times H) \\ {\scriptstyle \Sigma p_1+\Sigma p_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma G \vee \Sigma H & \longrightarrow & B_2(G,H) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_n(G,H), \end{array}$$

*where $p_i$ is the $i$-th projection and the addition is given by the suspension parameter of $\Sigma(G\times H)$.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.9, there is an $A_n$-form $\{m_i'\}$ on $G \times H$ such that there is a map between the associated canonical $A_n$-structure to the fibrant replacement $\{\tilde{E}_i(G,H), B_i(G,H), \tilde{D}_i(G,H)\}$. Since the projections $\{E_i(G,H), B_i(G,H), D_i(G,H)\}$ to the canonical $A_n$-structures of $G$ and $H$ are $A_n$-structures on $p_1\colon G\times H \to G$ and $p_2\colon G\times H \to H$, the identity map $G\times H \to G\times H$ admits an $A_n$-form $\{f_i\}$

as an $A_n$-map from $(G \times H, \{m'_i\})$ to the product $A_n$-space $G \times H$. Then, since the pair $(\mathrm{id}, \{f_i\})$ is an $A_n$-equivalence from $(G \times H, \{m'_i\})$ to the product $A_n$-space $G \times H$, the identity map also admits an $A_n$-form as an $A_n$-map from the product $A_n$-space $G \times H$ to $(G \times H, \{m'_i\})$. Thus we have a map between the canonical $A_n$-structures of them of which the underlying map is the identity on $G \times H$. Moreover, since the composite

$$E_{n-1}(G, H) \to B_{n-1}(G, H) \to B_n(G, H)$$

is null-homotopic, the map $B_{n-1}(G \times H) \to B_n(G, H)$ extends over $B_n(G \times H)$. Hence we have a homotopy commutative ladder

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma(G \times H) & \longrightarrow & B_2(G \times H) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_n(G \times H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma G \vee \Sigma H & \longrightarrow & B_2(G, H) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_n(G, H). \end{array}$$

One can see that the map $\Sigma(G \times H) \to \Sigma G \vee \Sigma H$ is homotopic to $\Sigma p_1 + \Sigma p_2$ by definition of $D_0(G, H)$. □

Let us recall the higher homotopy commutativity introduced by Sugawara [Sug61] for $n = \infty$ and generalized by McGibbon [McG89] for $n < \infty$.

**Definition 3.2.** An $A_n$-space $G$ is said to be a *Sugawara $C_n$-space* if the multiplication

$$m_2 \colon G \times G \to G$$

admits an $A_n$-form which respects the product $A_n$-form on $G \times G$.

We give an obstruction theoretic characterization of Sugawara $C_n$-space.

**Proposition 3.3.** *A looplike $A_\infty$-space $G$ having the based homotopy type of a CW complex is a Sugawara $C_n$-space if and only if the composite*

$$\Sigma G \vee \Sigma G \to BG \vee BG \xrightarrow{\nabla} BG$$

*of the wedge sum of the inclusions and the folding map extends over the space $B_n(G, G)$.*

*Proof.* One can find a topological group $G'$ and an $A_\infty$-equivalence $G' \to G$. For example, take $G'$ as the geometric realization of the Kan's simplicial loop group on $BG$. An $A_\infty$-equivalence induces a homotopy equivalence between the projective spaces. Then we may assume that $G$ is a topological group.

If the multiplication $m \colon G \times G \to G$ is an $A_n$-map, we have a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(G \times G) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma m} & \Sigma G \\ {\scriptstyle i_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_1} \\ B_n(G \times G) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & BG. \end{array}$$

The projections $B(G \times G) \to BG$ induce a homotopy equivalence $B(G \times G) \to BG \times BG$. Considering the homotopy inverse, we have the factorizations

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \Sigma G \vee \Sigma G & \longrightarrow & B_2(G, G) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_n(G, G) & \longrightarrow & BG \times BG \\ {\scriptstyle \text{inclusion}}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \simeq} \\ \Sigma(G \times G) & \longrightarrow & B_2(G \times G) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_n(G \times G) & \xrightarrow{i_n} & B(G \times G) \end{array}$$

since $\operatorname{cat} B_i(G,G) \leq i$ for each $i$. Thus the composite

$$B_n(G,G) \xrightarrow{\varphi} B_n(G\times G) \xrightarrow{\mu} BG$$

is restricted to a map homotopic to the wedge sum of the inclusions $\Sigma G \vee \Sigma G \to BG$.

Conversely, suppose that there is a map $f\colon B_n(G,G) \to BG$ which is an extension of the wedge sum of the inclusions $\Sigma G \vee \Sigma G \to BG$ and $n \geq 2$. By Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 2.11, there is a map $m'\colon G\times G \to G$ admitting an $A_n$-form such that $m'$ restricts to the folding map $G \vee G \to G$. Since $m'$ admits an $A_2$-form, the two maps

$$(x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2) \mapsto m'(m(x_1,x_2),m(y_1,y_2)), \qquad (x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2) \mapsto m(m'(x_1,y_1),m'(x_2,y_2))$$

are homotopic. Then by the Eckmann–Hilton argument, $m$ and $m'$ are homotopic. Therefore, $m$ also admits an $A_n$-form by Lemma 2.3. □

Recall $C(k_1,\dots,k_r)$-spaces introduced in Definition 1.4. The relations with other higher commutativity is obtained as follows.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let $G$ be a looplike $A_\infty$-space having the homotopy type of a CW complex and $k_1,\dots,k_r$ be positive integers. Then the implications (i)⇒(ii)⇒(iii) hold for the following conditions:*

*(i) $G$ is a Sugawara $C_{k_1+\cdots+k_r}$-space,*
*(ii) $G$ is a $C(k_1,\dots,k_r)$-space,*
*(iii) $G$ is a Williams $C_{k_1+\cdots+k_r}$-space.*

*Proof.* Suppose $G$ is a Sugawara $C_{k_1+\cdots+k_r}$-space. By Proposition 3.3, there is a map

$$F\colon B_{k_1+\cdots+k_r}(G,G) \to BG$$

which restricts to the wedge sum of the inclusions $B_{k_1+\cdots+k_r}G \vee B_{k_1+\cdots+k_r}G \to BG$. Assume that we have a map $f_i\colon B_{k_1}G\times\cdots\times B_{k_i}G \to BG$ which is the extension of the wedge sum of the inclusions for $i<r$. Since the L-S category of $B_{k_1}G\times\cdots\times B_{k_i}G$ is not greater than $k_1+\cdots+k_i$, $f_i$ factors through $B_{k_1+\cdots+k_i}G$ up to homotopy. We also denote this factorization by $f_i$. Define a map $g$ as the composite

$$B_{k_1+\cdots+k_i}G \times B_{k_{i+1}}G \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} B_{k_1+\cdots+k_r}(G,G) \xrightarrow{F} BG.$$

Then the composite

$$g\circ(f_i\times\mathrm{id})\colon (B_{k_1}G\times\cdots\times B_{k_i}G)\times B_{k_{i+1}}G \to BG$$

is the extension of the wedge sum of the inclusions. Thus by induction, $G$ is a $C(k_1,\dots,k_r)$-space.

The remaining implication follows from the similar argument since $\operatorname{cat}(\Sigma G)^i \leq i$. □

*Remark* 3.5. One can show that if $G$ is a $C(k-1,k-1)$-space then $G$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space by the similar argument. The implication

$$\text{Sugawara } C_{k_1+\cdots+k_r}\text{-space} \Rightarrow C_{k_1}(k_1+\cdots+k_r)\text{-space} \Rightarrow C(k_1,\dots,k_r)\text{-space}$$

mentioned in Section 1 is also similarly proved. For the definition of $C_k(n)$-space, see [HK11].

When $k_1=\cdots=k_r=\infty$, $C(k_1,\dots,k_r)$ is exactly a $C(\infty,\infty)$-space and hence a Sugawara $C_\infty$-space. Hemmi–Kawamoto [HK11] proved that a Sugawara $C_n$-space is described by explicit higher homotopies using the resultohedra. Analogously, the authors guess that our new "commutativity" is also described by certain polytopes. But we do not try to do this in the present paper.

## 4. Reduction of the projective space

The key technique in McGibbon [McG84] and Saumell's [Sau95] works is reducing the obstruction problem of $\Sigma G$ to the one of the wedge of spheres. For our problem, we need to reduce the projective space $B_kG$ to some easier space. This is the aim of this section. It can be done by proving that $G$ is $A_k$-equivalent to the product of spheres. This fact can be considered as a higher version of $p$-regularity. Once it is done, Theorem 1.5 immediately follows.

Let $G$ be a compact connected simple Lie group of type $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$. In this section, we localize spaces and maps at an odd prime $p \geq n_\ell$ and omit the symbol $(p)$ like $G = G_{(p)}$. As is well-known, $G$ has the $p$-local homotopy type of the product of spheres if $p > n_\ell$.

First we determine the homotopy type of the projective spaces of spheres.

**Lemma 4.1.** *An odd dimensional sphere $S^{2n-1}$ admits an $A_{p-1}$-form. The cohomology of the projective space $B_kS^{2n-1}$ for $k < p$ is computed as*

$$H^*(B_kS^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x]/(x^{k+1}),$$

*where $x \in H^{2n}(B_kS^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. Moreover, $B_kS^{2n-1}$ has the homotopy type of the CW complex*

$$S^{2n} \cup e^{4n} \cup \cdots \cup e^{2kn},$$

*where $e^d$ denotes a $d$-dimensional ($p$-local) cell.*

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that the homotopy fiber of the double suspension map

$$E^2 \colon S^{2n-1} \to \Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$$

is $(2pn-4)$-connected and $\Omega^2 S^{2n+1}$ is an $A_\infty$-space. □

Take generators $\epsilon_i \in \pi_{2n_i-1}(G)$ of the free part of the homotopy groups. Then the composite

$$S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1 \times \cdots \times \epsilon_\ell} G \times \cdots \times G \xrightarrow{\text{multiplication}} G.$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Consider a subspace of the projective space

$$B_k(S^{2n_1-1}, \dots, S^{2n_\ell-1}) = \bigcup_{j_1+\cdots+j_\ell=k} B_{j_1}S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times B_{j_\ell}S^{2n_\ell-1} \subset B_k(S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}).$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *If $p > kn_\ell$ for some $k \geq 1$, then the above homotopy equivalence admits an $A_k$-form.*

*Proof.* Note that $\pi_i(BG) = 0$ for odd $i < 2p+1$ since $G \simeq S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}$. Then, by Lemma 4.1, there are no obstructions to extending the map $B_1(S^{2n_1-1}, \dots, S^{2n_\ell-1}) \to BG$ over $B_k(S^{2n_1-1}, \dots, S^{2n_\ell-1})$. Hence Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 2.11, the map $S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1} \to G$ admits an $A_k$-form. □

The following proposition is used to reduce the projective space $B_kG$ to $B_k(S^{2n_1-1}, \dots, S^{2n_\ell-1})$.

**Proposition 4.3.** *There exists an $A_\infty$-form on $S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}$ such that the restricted $A_k$-form coincides with the product $A_k$-form and the above homotopy equivalence $S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1} \to G$ admits an $A_\infty$-form.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.2, the homotopy equivalence $S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1} \to G$ admits an $A_k$-form with respect to the product $A_k$-form of $S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}$. Since this map is a homotopy equivalence, one can observe that there are no obstructions to construct $A_\infty$-forms on the map and on $S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}$. □

Let us denote the $A_\infty$-space $S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}$ equipped with the above $A_\infty$-form by $H$.

*Remark* 4.4. In general, the restricted $A_{p-1}$-form of the $A_\infty$-form of $H$ does not coincide with the product $A_{p-1}$-form. If they coincide, $H$ must be a Williams $C_{p-1}$-space.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let $G$ be a compact connected simple Lie group of type $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$ and take a prime $p$ and a positive integer $k$ such as $p > kn_\ell$. Then, by Propositions 3.1, 3.3 and 4.3, $G$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space if the composite

$$B_1(S^{2n_1-1}, \dots, S^{2n_\ell-1}) \vee B_1(S^{2n_1-1}, \dots, S^{2n_\ell-1}) \to BH \vee BH \xrightarrow{\nabla} BH$$

extends over the union $\bigcup_{k_1+k_2=k} B_{k_1}(S^{2n_1-1}, \dots, S^{2n_\ell-1}) \times B_{k_2}(S^{2n_1-1}, \dots, S^{2n_\ell-1})$. Now it does by Lemma 4.1 since $\pi_i(BG) = 0$ for odd $i < 2p+1$ and $p > kn_\ell$. Thus Theorem 1.5 follows. □

## 5. Gauge group

In this section, we recall the basic definitions and facts about gauge groups.

**Definition 5.1.** Given a principal $G$-bundle $P \to B$, a map $P \to P$ is said to be an *automorphism* if $f$ is $G$-equivariant and induces the identity on $B$. The topological group consisting of automorphisms on $P$ is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(P)$ and called the *gauge group*.

Let $P \to B$ be a principal $G$-bundle. The associated bundle

$$\operatorname{ad} P = (P \times G)/\sim$$

defined by the equivalence relation

$$(ug, x) \sim (u, gxg^{-1})$$

is called the *adjoint bundle* of $P$. It is naturally a fiberwise topological group. Thus the space of sections $\Gamma(\operatorname{ad} P)$ is a topological group. It is not difficult to see that $\Gamma(\operatorname{ad} P)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(P)$.

The weak homotopy type of the classifying space of a gauge group is studied by Gottlieb [Got72].

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let $P$ be a principal $G$-bundle over a CW complex $B$, which is classified by a map $\alpha\colon B \to BG$. Then, the classifying space $B\mathcal{G}(P)$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to the path-component* $\operatorname{Map}(B, BG)_\alpha$ *of* $\operatorname{Map}(B, BG)$ *based at* $\alpha \in \operatorname{Map}(B, BG)$.

By Theorem [HMR72, Theorem 3.11, Chapter II], if a $p$-localization $\ell\colon X \to X_{(p)}$ of a nilpotent space $X$ is given and $B$ is a finite complex, the induced map $\operatorname{Map}(B, X)_f \to \operatorname{Map}(B, X_{(p)})_{\ell\circ f}$ is also a $p$-localization for any $f\colon B \to X$. This implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.3.** *Suppose $G$ is a path-connected topological group having the homotopy type of a CW complex. Let $P$ be a principal $G$-bundle over a finite CW complex $B$, which is classified by a map $\alpha\colon B \to BG$. Then, the classifying space $B(\mathcal{G}(P)_{(p)})$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to the path-component* $\operatorname{Map}(B, BG_{(p)})_{\ell\circ\alpha}$, *where $\ell\colon BG \to BG_{(p)}$ is a $p$-localization.*

## 6. Proof of Theorems 1.7, 1.9 and 1.10

As in the theorems, let $G$ be a compact connected simple Lie group of type $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$, $p$ a prime and $n$, $k$ positive integers. In this section, we again localize all spaces and maps at $p$ and omit the localization symbol $(p)$.

First we prove that $\mathcal{G}(E_nG)$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space if $p > (n+k)n_\ell$. When $k = 1$, we have nothing to prove. Let us consider the case when $k \geq 2$. By Theorem 1.5, $G$ is a $C(k, n)$-space. Then the wedge sum of the inclusions

$$\Sigma G \vee \Sigma G \to BG$$

extends over the product $B_kG \times B_nG$. Combining with [KK10, Corollary 1.7], this implies that the adjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}\, E_nG$ is fiberwise $A_k$-equivalent to the trivial bundle $B_nG \times G$. For the notions of fiberwise $A_n$-theory we need here, see [KK10, Section 3]. Consider the following homotopy commutative diagram of fiberwise spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ad}\, E_nG \times_{B_nG} \mathrm{ad}\, E_nG & \xrightarrow{\text{multiplication}} & \mathrm{ad}\, E_nG \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ B_nG \times (G \times G) & \xrightarrow{\text{multiplication}} & B_nG \times G, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are fiberwise $A_k$-equivalences. Since $G$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space, the bottom arrow is a fiberwise $A_k$-map. Thus we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** *The adjoint bundle* $\mathrm{ad}\, E_nG$ *is a fiberwise Sugawara* $C_k$*-space, that is, the fiberwise multiplication*

$$\mathrm{ad}\, E_nG \times_{B_nG} \mathrm{ad}\, E_nG \to \mathrm{ad}\, E_nG$$

*is a fiberwise* $A_k$*-map.*

This implies that the multiplication map

$$\mathcal{G}(E_nG) \times \mathcal{G}(E_nG) \to \mathcal{G}(E_nG)$$

is an $A_k$-map. Hence $\mathcal{G}(E_nG)$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space.

For a space $B$ such that $\mathrm{cat}\, B = n$ and a principal $G$-bundle $P$ over $B$, the classifying map $B \to BG$ factors through $B_nG$. Then by Lemma 6.1, the gauge group $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Finally, we observe the non-commutativity of $\mathcal{G}(E_nG)$. We suppose $(n+1)n_\ell < p < (n+k)n_\ell$. Since $(n+1)n_\ell < p$, the wedge sum of the inclusions

$$\Sigma G \vee B_nG \to BG$$

extends over the product $\Sigma G \times B_nG$. Taking the adjoint, we obtain the map

$$f\colon \Sigma G \to \mathrm{Map}(B_nG, BG)_{i_n}.$$

Consider the extension problem of the map

$$(\Sigma G)^{\vee k} \xrightarrow{(f,\dots,f)} \mathrm{Map}(B_nG, BG)_{i_n}$$

over the product $(\Sigma G)^{\times k}$. If $\mathcal{G}(E_nG)$ is a Williams $C_k$-space, this extends. Taking the adjoint, we have the map

$$(\Sigma G)^{\vee k} \times B_nG \to BG,$$

which is an extension of the wedge sum of the inclusions $(\Sigma G)^{\vee k} \vee B_nG \to BG$. This does not extend over the product since $G$ is not a $C(r_1, \dots, r_k, n)$-space for $r_1 = \cdots = r_k = 1$. Therefore, the gauge group $\mathcal{G}(E_nG)$ is not a Williams $C_k$-space.

Now the proof of Theorem 1.10 might be obvious. Let $P$ be a principal $G$-bundle over $S^{2n_i}$ and $k \geq 2$ an integer satisfying $p \geq kn_\ell + n_i$. One can prove by the analogous argument that the adjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}\, P$ is fiberwise $A_k$-equivalent to the trivial bundle $S^{2n_i} \times G$. Since $G$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space, then the fiberwise multiplication

$$\mathrm{ad}\, P \times_{S^{2n_i}} \mathrm{ad}\, P \to \mathrm{ad}\, P$$

is a fiberwise $A_k$-map. Therefore, the gauge group $\mathcal{G}(P)$ is a Sugawara $C_k$-space.

## Appendix A. 5-local higher homotopy commutativity of $G_2$

In this appendix, we prove Theorem 1.3. Hereafter, we localize all spaces and maps at $p = 5$. McGibbon [McG84] proved that $G_2$ is homotopy commutative. But Saumell [Sau95] proved that $G_2$ is not a Williams $C_5$-space.

By the results in [Ada69, Lecture 4], there is a loop map

$$E\colon BU \to BU$$

characterized by the homotopy commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BU & \xrightarrow{E} & BU \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ch}_n} \\ * & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} BU & \xrightarrow{E} & BU \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ch}_{4n-2}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ch}_{4n-2}} \\ K(\mathbb{Q}, 8n-4) & = & K(\mathbb{Q}, 8n-4) \end{array}$$

where the left square holds for $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$ and $\mathrm{ch}_n$ denotes the $n$-th universal Chern character. From this, we have $E^2 = E$. We define a loop space

$$B = \mathrm{hocolim}(BU \xrightarrow{E} BU \xrightarrow{E} \cdots)$$

and the canonical loop map $i\colon BU \to B$. We can compute the cohomology group as

$$H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}[z_4, z_{12}, z_{20}, z_{28}, \ldots]$$

such that $i^* z_{8n-4} = E^* c_{4n-2}$ for the Chern class $c_{4n-2} \in H^{8n-4}(BU; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)})$ by the Newton identities.

**Lemma A.1.** *The following congruences modulo* $(c_k \mid k\colon \text{odd or } k \geq 7) + ((c_2, c_6)^2 + (c_4))^2$ *hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} &E^* c_2 \equiv c_2, && E^* c_4 \equiv \frac{1}{2} c_2^2, && E^* c_6 \equiv c_6 - c_4 c_2 + \frac{1}{2} c_2^3, \\ &E^* c_8 \equiv c_6 c_2, && E^* c_{10} \equiv -c_6 c_4 + \frac{3}{2} c_6 c_2^2, && E^* c_{12} \equiv \frac{1}{2} c_6^2, \\ &E^* c_{14} \equiv \frac{3}{2} c_6^2 c_2, && E^* c_{16} \equiv 0, && E^* c_{18} \equiv \frac{1}{2} c_6^3. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* These congruences can be verified by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} E^* c_{4n-2} &= -\frac{1}{4n-2}((E^* c_{4n-4}) s_2 + \cdots + (E^* c_4) s_{4n-6} + s_{4n-2}), \\ E^* c_{4n} &= -\frac{1}{4n}((E^* c_{4n-2}) s_2 + \cdots + (E^* c_2) s_{4n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

and Girard's formula

$$s_i = \sum_{r_1 + 2r_2 + \cdots + i r_i = i} (-1)^{i + r_1 + \cdots + r_i} \frac{i(r_1 + \cdots + r_i - 1)!}{r_1! \cdots r_i!} c_1^{r_1} \cdots c_i^{r_i}.$$

□

We also need the indecomposables as in the following lemma. The proof is similar to the previous lemma.

**Lemma A.2.** *We have the congruence* $E^* c_{4n-2} \equiv c_{4n-2}$ *mod decomposables for any integer* $n \geq 1$.

Now we recall the elementary property of the exceptional Lie group $\mathrm{G}_2$. The following diagram of inclusions commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{SU}(3) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{G}_2 & & \\ \text{realification}\downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow^{\rho} & \\ \mathrm{Spin}(6) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spin}(7) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SU}(7) \end{array}$$

where $\mathrm{Spin}(7)/\mathrm{G}_2 \cong S^7$. As in [Wat85, Section 4], the following proposition holds.

**Proposition A.3.** *The cohomology of $B\mathrm{G}_2$ is computed as*

$$H^*(B\mathrm{G}_2; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}[y_4, y_{12}]$$

*such that the following equality holds:*

$$\rho^*(c_i) = \begin{cases} -y_{2i} & i = 2, 6 \\ \frac{1}{4}y_4^2 & i = 4 \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Remark* A.4. It is claimed in [HKO14, Proposition 2.10] that $\rho^*(c_4) = 0$, and this is false as above. However, this is irrelevant to verifying the results of [HKO14].

It is well known that $\Sigma\mathbb{C}P^6$ has the homotopy type of the wedge sum $A \vee S^5 \vee S^7 \vee S^9$ where $A = S^3 \cup e^{11}$. The composite of the inclusions $A \to \Sigma\mathbb{C}P^6 \to \mathrm{SU}(7)$ lifts to $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$. Moreover, it lifts to $\mathrm{G}_2$ since $\mathrm{Spin}(7)/\mathrm{G}_2 \cong S^7$.

**Lemma A.5.** *The cohomology of $A$ is computed as*

$$H^*(A; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}\langle x_3, x_{11}\rangle, \qquad x_3 \in H^3, \quad x_{11} \in H^{11},$$

*where $x_3$ and $x_{11}$ are the images of the cohomology suspensions $-\sigma(y_4)$ and $-\sigma(y_{12})$ under the induced map of $A \to G$, respectively. Moreover, the K-theory of $A$ is computed as*

$$\tilde{K}(\Sigma A; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}\langle g, h\rangle, \qquad \mathrm{ch}\, g = \Sigma x_3 + \frac{1}{5!}\Sigma x_{11}, \quad \mathrm{ch}\, h = \Sigma x_{11}.$$

Consider the wedge sum of the inclusions

$$\Sigma A \vee \Sigma A \vee \Sigma A \to B\mathrm{G}_2.$$

Since $\mathrm{G}_2$ is homotopy commutative, this map extends over the fat wedge $T(\Sigma A, \Sigma A, \Sigma A)$. Our goal is to see the higher Whitehead product

$$\omega\colon \Sigma^2(A \wedge A \wedge A) \to B\mathrm{G}_2$$

is non-trivial. Our basic idea is the same as the calculation of Samelson products in quasi-$p$-regular Lie groups in [HKMO]. Once this is proved, Theorem 1.3 follows from [Sau95, Theorem-Definition 3.1].

Let $j\colon B\mathrm{G}_2 \to B$ be the composite

$$B\mathrm{G}_2 \xrightarrow{B\rho} B\,\mathrm{SU}(7) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} BU \xrightarrow{i} B$$

and $W$ be the homotopy fiber of $j$.

**Lemma A.6.** *The following equalities hold:*

$$j^*z_4 = -y_4, \quad j^*z_{12} = -y_{12} - \frac{1}{4}y_4^3, \quad j^*z_{20} \equiv -\frac{5}{4}y_{12}y_4^2, \quad j^*z_{28} \equiv -\frac{3}{2}y_{12}^2y_4, \quad j^*z_{36} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}y_{12}^3,$$

*where $\equiv$ means the congruence modulo $(y_4, y_{12})^4$.*

*Proof.* This lemma immediately follows from Lemma A.1 and Proposition A.3. □

**Lemma A.7.** *The cohomology of $W$ is computed as*

$$H^*(W;\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}\langle a_{19}, a_{27}, a_{35}\rangle \qquad \text{for } * < 43,$$

*where the transgressions $\tau(a_{19}), \tau(a_{27}), \tau(a_{35})$ with respect to the fibration $W \to B\mathrm{G}_2 \to B$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(a_{19}) &\equiv z_{20} - \tfrac{5}{4} z_{12} z_4^2 && \text{mod } (z_4)^5,\\
\tau(a_{27}) &\equiv z_{28} - \tfrac{3}{2} z_{12}^2 z_4 && \text{mod } (z_{20}) + (z_4, z_{12})^4,\\
\tau(a_{35}) &\equiv z_{36} - \tfrac{1}{2} z_{12}^3 && \text{mod } (z_{20}, z_{28}) + (z_4, z_{12})^4.
\end{aligned}$$

*Moreover, the images of $a_{19}, a_{27}, a_{35}$ under the induced map of $\Omega B \to W$ are the cohomology suspensions $\sigma(z_{20}), \sigma(z_{28}), \sigma(z_{36})$.*

*Proof.* This follows from the computation of the cohomology Serre spectral sequence and Lemma A.6. □

The map $j$ induces the exact sequence

$$[\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, \Omega B] \to [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W] \to [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, B\mathrm{G}_2] \xrightarrow{j_*} [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, B].$$

Note that $\omega$ lives in $[\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, B\mathrm{G}_2]$ and lifts to some $\mu \in [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W]$ since $B$ is a loop space. To check the non-triviality of $\mu$, we first embed $[\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W]$ to an easier module.

**Lemma A.8.** *The map*

$$[\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W] \to H^{19}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \oplus H^{27}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \oplus H^{35}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) (\cong \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}^{\oplus 7})$$

*defined by $f \mapsto (f^*(a_{19}), f^*(a_{27}), f^*(a_{35}))$ is injective.*

*Proof.* First we note that the homotopy set $[\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W]$ is isomorphic to the stable homotopy set $\{\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W\}$ since $W$ is 18-connected and $\dim \Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3} = 35$. The rationalized map

$$[\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W] \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to H^{19}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^{27}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^{35}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism by Lemma A.7. Then it is sufficient to show that $[\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$-module. The homotopy groups of $W$ are computed as

$$\pi_i(W) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{(5)} & i = 19, 27, 35 \\ 0 & i = 28, 36 \end{cases}$$

by the approximation by a CW complex $S^{19} \cup e^{27} \cup e^{35} \to W$ and the stable homotopy groups of spheres. Thus by a skeletal consideration, one can see that $[\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W]$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$-free. □

Next we compute the image of $\mu \in [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W]$ in $H^{19}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \oplus H^{27}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \oplus H^{35}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3};\mathbb{Z}_{(5)})$. Define a map $\tilde{\mu}\colon (\Sigma A)^{\times 3} \to B$ by the composite

$$(\Sigma A)^{\times 3} \to (B\mathrm{G}_2)^{\times 3} \xrightarrow{j^{\times 3}} B^{\times 3} \xrightarrow{\text{multiplication}} B.$$

**Lemma A.9.** *The extension of the wedge sum of the inclusions $\Sigma A \vee \Sigma A \vee \Sigma A \to B$ over the fat wedge $T(\Sigma A, \Sigma A, \Sigma A)$ is unique up to homotopy.*

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that the homotopy groups $\pi_8(B)$, $\pi_{16}(B)$ and $\pi_{24}(B)$ are trivial. □

**Proposition A.10.** *The following equalities hold:*

$$\mu^*(a_{19}) = -\frac{3}{2}b_{19}, \qquad \mu^*(a_{27}) = -2b_{27}, \qquad \mu^*(a_{35}) = -2b_{35},$$

*where $b_{19}, b_{27}, b_{35}$ are defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} b_{19} =& \Sigma^2 x_{11} \otimes x_3 \otimes x_3 + \Sigma^2 x_3 \otimes x_{11} \otimes x_3 + \Sigma^2 x_3 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_{11}, \\ b_{27} =& \Sigma^2 x_{11} \otimes x_{11} \otimes x_3 + \Sigma^2 x_{11} \otimes x_3 \otimes x_{11} + \Sigma^2 x_3 \otimes x_{11} \otimes x_{11}, \\ b_{35} =& \Sigma^2 x_{11} \otimes x_{11} \otimes x_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From Lemma A.9, we have the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3} & \longrightarrow & T(\Sigma A, \Sigma A, \Sigma A) & \longrightarrow & (\Sigma A)^{\times 3} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\mu}} \\ W & \longrightarrow & B\mathrm{G}_2 & \xrightarrow{j} & B, \end{array}$$

where $\mu$ is induced from the commuting homotopy of the right homotopy commutative square. For a more precise description of the top cofiber sequence, see [Por65]. This induces the map of cofiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3} & \longrightarrow & T(\Sigma A, \Sigma A, \Sigma A) & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Sigma\mu} \\ W & \longrightarrow & B\mathrm{G}_2 & \longrightarrow & C_2 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma W \end{array}$$

and hence the next commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & \longrightarrow & (\Sigma A)^{\times 3} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\mu}} \\ C_2 & \longrightarrow & B, \end{array}$$

where the composite $C_1 \to (\Sigma A)^{\times 3} \to (\Sigma A)^{\wedge 3} \cong \Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}$ is homotopic to $\partial$.

For $i = 20, 28, 36$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{H}^{i-1}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{H}^i(C_1; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \tilde{H}^i((\Sigma A)^{\times 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mu^*} & & \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\mu}^*} \\ H^{i-1}(W; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{H}^i(C_2; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) & \twoheadleftarrow & \tilde{H}^i(B; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \end{array}$$

Under the induced map of the multiplication $(BU)^{\times 3} \to BU$, the class $E^*c_n \in H^{2n}(BU; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)})$ is mapped to

$$\sum_{p+q+r=n} (E^*c_p) \times (E^*c_q) \times (E^*c_r)$$

by the Cartan formula for Chern classes. From this, we can compute as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mu}^*(z_{20} - \frac{5}{4}z_{12}z_4^2) &= -\frac{3}{2}(\Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_{11} + \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_3 + \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_3),\\
\tilde{\mu}^*(z_{28} - \frac{3}{2}z_{12}^2z_4) &= -2(\Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_{11} + \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_{11} + \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_3),\\
\tilde{\mu}^*(z_{36} - \frac{1}{2}z_{12}^3) &= -2\Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_{11}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by the above diagram and Lemma A.7, we obtain $\mu^*(a_{19}), \mu^*(a_{27}), \mu^*(a_{35})$ as above. □

Finally we compute the image of the composite

$$\Phi\colon [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, \Omega B] \to [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W] \to H^{19}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \oplus H^{27}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \oplus H^{35}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}).$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
[\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}, B] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, \Omega B] & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}, W] \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle z_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma(z_i)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{i-1}} \\
H^i(\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{i-1}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) & = & H^{i-1}(\Sigma^2 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)})
\end{array}$$

for $i = 20, 28, 36$. Since $i_*\colon \tilde{K}(\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \cong [\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}, BU] \to [\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}, B]$ is an isomorphism, the image of the left vertical arrow coincides with the image of the following map by Lemma A.2:

$$(9!\,\mathrm{ch}_{10}, 13!\,\mathrm{ch}_{14}, 17!\,\mathrm{ch}_{18})\colon \tilde{K}(\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \to H^{20}(\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \oplus H^{28}(\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \oplus H^{36}(\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}).$$

Under the Künneth isomorphism

$$\tilde{K}(\Sigma^3 A^{\wedge 3}; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}) \cong \tilde{K}(\Sigma A; \mathbb{Z}_{(5)})^{\otimes 3},$$

we can compute as

$$\begin{aligned}
9!\,\mathrm{ch}_{10}(g \otimes g \otimes g) &= 9!((\mathrm{ch}_2 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g) + (\mathrm{ch}_2 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g)(\mathrm{ch}_2 g) + (\mathrm{ch}_2 g)(\mathrm{ch}_2 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g))\\
&= \frac{9!}{5!}(\Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_3 + \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_3 + \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_{11}),\\
13!\,\mathrm{ch}_{14}(g \otimes g \otimes g) &= 13!((\mathrm{ch}_6 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g)(\mathrm{ch}_2 g) + (\mathrm{ch}_6 g)(\mathrm{ch}_2 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g) + (\mathrm{ch}_2 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g))\\
&= \frac{13!}{5!5!}(\Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_3 + \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_{11} + \Sigma x_3 \otimes \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_{11})\\
17!\,\mathrm{ch}_{18}(g \otimes g \otimes g) &= 17!(\mathrm{ch}_6 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g)(\mathrm{ch}_6 g)\\
&= \frac{17!}{5!5!5!}\Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_{11} \otimes \Sigma x_{11}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma A.5. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
9!\,\mathrm{ch}_{10}(h\otimes g\otimes g) &= 9!\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_3\otimes\Sigma x_3,\\
13!\,\mathrm{ch}_{14}(h\otimes g\otimes g) &= \frac{13!}{5!}(\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_3+\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_3\otimes\Sigma x_{11}),\\
17!\,\mathrm{ch}_{18}(h\otimes g\otimes g) &= \frac{17!}{5!5!}\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_{11},\\
9!\,\mathrm{ch}_{10}(h\otimes h\otimes g) &= 0,\\
13!\,\mathrm{ch}_{14}(h\otimes h\otimes g) &= 13!\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_3,\\
13!\,\mathrm{ch}_{18}(h\otimes h\otimes g) &= \frac{17!}{5!}\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_{11},\\
9!\,\mathrm{ch}_{10}(h\otimes h\otimes h) &= 0,\\
13!\,\mathrm{ch}_{14}(h\otimes h\otimes h) &= 0,\\
13!\,\mathrm{ch}_{18}(h\otimes h\otimes h) &= 17!\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_{11}\otimes\Sigma x_{11}.
\end{aligned}$$

The other terms are analogous.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Now suppose that $(\mu^*(a_{19}),\mu^*(a_{27}),\mu^*(a_{35}))$ is contained in the image of the map $\Phi$. Then by Proposition A.10 and the above computation, there exist $a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$ satisfying the following equations:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{9!}{5!}a+9!b & =\frac{3}{2}\\
\frac{13!}{5!5!}a+2\cdot\frac{13!}{5!}b+13!c & =2\\
\frac{17!}{5!5!5!}a+3\cdot\frac{17!}{5!5!}b+3\cdot\frac{17!}{5!}c+17!d & =2
\end{cases}$$

But one can find by a slight computation that the denominator of $d$ must be divisible by 125. This contradicts to $d\in\mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$. Thus, the higher Whitehead product $\omega\colon\Sigma^2(A\wedge A\wedge A)\to B\mathrm{G}_2$ is nontrivial. Therefore $\mathrm{G}_2$ is not a Williams $C_3$-space at $p=5$. □

## References


[Ada69] J. F. Adams. Lectures on generalised cohomology. In *Category Theory, Homology Theory and their Applications, III (Battelle Institute Conference, Seattle, Wash., 1968, Vol. Three)*, pages 1–138. Springer, Berlin, 1969.

[Got72] Daniel Henry Gottlieb. Applications of bundle map theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 171:23–50, 1972.

[HK11] Yutaka Hemmi and Yusuke Kawamoto. Higher homotopy commutativity and the resultohedra. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 63(2):443–471, 2011.

[HKMO] Sho Hasui, Daisuke Kishimoto, Toshiyuki Miyauchi, and Akihiro Ohsita. Samelson products in quasi-p-reguar exceptional lie groups. *preprint*.

[HKO14] Sho Hasui, Daisuke Kishimoto, and Akihiro Ohsita. Samelson products in $p$-regular exceptional Lie groups. *Topology Appl.*, 178:17–29, 2014.

[HMR72] Peter Hilton, Guido Mislin, and Joseph Roitberg. Topological localization and nilpotent groups. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 78:1060–1063, 1972.

[Hub69] J. R. Hubbuck. On homotopy commutative $H$-spaces. *Topology*, 8:119–126, 1969.

[IM89] Norio Iwase and Mamoru Mimura. Higher homotopy associativity. In *Algebraic topology (Arcata, CA, 1986)*, volume 1370 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 193–220. Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[IMOY12] Norio Iwase, Mamoru Mimura, Nobuyuki Oda, and Yeon Soo Yoon. The Milnor-Stasheff filtration on spaces and generalized cyclic maps. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 55(3):523–536, 2012.

[Iwa] Norio Iwase. Associahedra, multiplihedra and units in $A_\infty$ form. *arXiv: 1211.5741*.

[KK10] Daisuke Kishimoto and Akira Kono. Splitting of gauge groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(12):6715–6731, 2010.

[KKT13] D. Kishimoto, A. Kono, and S. Theriault. Homotopy commutativity in $p$-localized gauge groups. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 143(4):851–870, 2013.
[KT] John R. Klein and Sean Tilson. On the moduli space of $A_\infty$-structures. *arXiv: 1410.7089*.
[McG84] C. A. McGibbon. Homotopy commutativity in localized groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(3):665–687, 1984.
[McG89] C. A. McGibbon. Higher forms of homotopy commutativity and finite loop spaces. *Math. Z.*, 201(3):363–374, 1989.
[Por65] Gerald J. Porter. Higher-order Whitehead products. *Topology*, 3:123–135, 1965.
[Sau95] Laia Saumell. Higher homotopy commutativity in localized groups. *Math. Z.*, 219(2):203–213, 1995.
[Sta63] James Dillon Stasheff. Homotopy associativity of $H$-spaces. I, II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), 275-292; ibid.*, 108:293–312, 1963.
[Sug61] Masahiro Sugawara. On the homotopy-commutativity of groups and loop spaces. *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math.*, 33:257–269, 1960/1961.
[Tsu16] Mitsunobu Tsutaya. Mapping spaces from projective spaces. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 18(1):173–203, 2016.
[Wat85] Takashi Watanabe. Chern characters on compact Lie groups of low rank. *Osaka J. Math.*, 22(3):463–488, 1985.
[Wil69] Francis D. Williams. Higher homotopy-commutativity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139:191–206, 1969.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
*E-mail address*: s.hasui@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
*E-mail address*: kishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
*E-mail address*: tsutaya@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp